

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,581	12/17/2003	Satoshi Yamamoto •	Q78469	4481
23373 7	590 11/01/2004		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			GURLEY, LYNNE ANN	
SUITE 800	LVANIA AVENUE, I	N. VV .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		2812	

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/736,581	YAMAMOTO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lynne A. Gurley	2812			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vorce and the second period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A	ugust 2004.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar					
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-14 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	n from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	on No			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
June A. Gurley					
	A.D.	LYNNE A. GURLEY			
Attachment(s) TC 2800, AU 2812					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-11 in the reply filed on 8/13/04 is 1. acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 12-14 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 8/13/04.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-11 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 1, line 7, the word "electroductive" should be "electroconductive". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Claim 1 recites the limitation "said protective film" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,581 Page 3

Art Unit: 2812

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 1, 4, 8-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sugihara (US 2002/0192939, dated 12/19/02, filed 6/14/02).

Sugihara shows the method as claimed in figures 5A-5G and corresponding text, with electroconductive film Cu and protective film Ni/Au (figs. 5A-5B), micropore (fig. 5C, bump hole) through the substrate, and electroconductive substance Ni bump/Au (fig. 5D).

9. Claims 1 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Takao (US 2004/0137701, dated 7/15/04, filed 10/14/03).

Takao shows the method as claimed in figures 10-17 and corresponding text, with substrate 210, electroconductive layer 211, protective layer 212/213, micropore 217, and electroconductive substance 217 (fig. 15). Insulating layer 230/218 is formed as a liner on the inside of the micropore.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/736,581 Page 4

Art Unit: 2812

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 11. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 12. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 13. Claims 2-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takao (US 2004/0137701, dated 7/15/04, filed 10/14/03).
- 14. Takao shows the method substantially as claimed and as described in the preceding paragraph.
- 15. Takao lacks anticipation only in not teaching that the electroconductive substance is deposited by molten metal insertion method, or printing method; that the protective member is

Art Unit: 2812

removed from the electroconductive film and the substrate or removed by heating the substrate; that pressure is used to insert the electroconductive film and the associated parameters.

16. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have had the electroconductive substance be deposited by molten metal insertion method, or printing method; to have had the protective member removed from the electroconductive film and the substrate or removed by heating the substrate; to have had the pressure be used to insert the electroconductive film and the associated parameters, in the method of Takao, with the motivation that if an alternate conductor were chosen to fill the micropore, deposition by molten metal insertion, printing and/or pressure methods would be possible and/or desirable; and, with the motivation that the protective layer may be removed after its function has been served, perhaps during or, after dicing.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynne A. Gurley whose telephone number is 571-272-1670. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on 571-272-1679. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,581

Art Unit: 2812

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lynne A. Gurley

Primary Patent Examiner TC 2800, Art Unit 2812

Page 6

LAG October 30, 2004